

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233379245>

Submarine 'sinkholes': A review

Conference Paper · January 1984

CITATIONS

3

READS

2,494

1 author:



[Marco Taviani](#)

Italian National Research Council

638 PUBLICATIONS 12,608 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Patterns and Pace of Polar Bioerosion (DFG, 2017-2020) [View project](#)



IDEM Implementation of the MSFD in the Deep Mediterranean Sea [View project](#)

SINKHOLES: THEIR GEOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Edited by

BARRY F. BECK

Florida Sinkhole Research Institute, University of Central Florida, Orlando

OFFPRINT



Sponsored by the

*Florida Sinkhole Research Institute, College of Engineering,
University of Central Florida*

A.A. BALKEMA / ROTTERDAM / BOSTON / 1984

Submarine 'sinkholes': A review

MARCO TAVIANI *CNR, Bologna, Italy*

ABSTRACT

The exploration of the submarine realm has led to the discovery that structures closely resembling subaerial dissolution karstic features are relatively frequent at great depth. Rounded, subcircular or oval depressions from a few meters up to 30 km in diameter have been reported from different basins (Mediterranean, North and Red Seas, Gulf of Mexico). The objective difficulty in making detailed studies of these depressions does not allow in most cases to unequivocally understand the nature of these structures and the mechanisms controlling their formation. The possibility of submarine karstification of carbonates leading to large scale structures seems unlikely but has not yet been investigated. The formation of sinkholes due to subsurface solution of salt does not necessarily require a subaerial environment. Important saline basins, such as the Gulf of Mexico, Mediterranean, Red and North Seas, display large depressions interpreted as salt collapse-structures due to the solution of underlying evaporites. The role that they play as mini-sedimentary basins appears to be important. In the Red Sea these depressions are likely to be connected to the formation and maintenance of hypersaline brines fundamental for the formation of metal-enriched precipitates of economic interest.

INTRODUCTION

The intense investigation of the deep-sea during the last decades has substantially advanced our knowledge of the morphology of the ocean bottom. Thus, morphological structures analogous to the better known structures of the subaerial environment have been discovered. A case in point is given by the detection of karst-like features in many basins of the world to depths as great as 2000 m. This paper will give a brief account on this attractive topic by discussing some of the theoretical and applied problems connected with it.

The first report of structures on the ocean bottom thought to be the product of large scale dissolution and collapse of soluble rocks is given by LOHMAN (1972) who provides convincing arguments of subsurface erosion (leaching) of the Permian (Zechstein) salt on the basis of seismograms recorded in the North Sea. Some of the circular to subcircular depressions (Chain, Discovery, Valdivia, Kebrit and Oceanographer Deeps) acoustically determined on the bottom of the Red Sea are suspected by SCHOELL et al. (1974: p.309) and BÄCKER et al. (1975: p.102-103 and 119) to be ultimately collapse structures due to removal of underlying salt. To support their view, BÄCKER et al. (1975) recall the morphological similarity between these structures and those shown by the diapirs at Gubbet Mus Nefit (Dahlak islands, southern Red Sea) reported by HOOPER in FRAZIER (1970). Salt collapse is also connected, according to TRABANT & PRESLEY (1977), to the formation of the Orca Basin, an elbow-shaped depression located in the Gulf of Mexico. Finally, ROSS & UCHUPI (1977), LORT (1977: p. 195), BELDERSON et al. (1978) and KASTENS & SPIESS (1984), independently argue that many depressions observed on seismograms, Gloria and Deep-Tow records from the eastern Mediterranean are due to collapse linked to salt leaching of underlying evaporites. It is noteworthy to record that HINZ (as quoted in SIGL et al., 1973) was the first to advance the hypothesis that "collapsing of underground caverns" due to chemical erosion of Miocene evaporites is one of the probable origin of the hummocky and rolling landscape of the Eastern Mediterranean.

Thus, it appears that karst-like structures are widespread phenomena in the oceans and not just local accidents.

It can be noted that the evidence available at present is limited to solution of salt. In the subaerial environment true karst is a phenomenon primarily linked to solution of carbonatic rocks (limestone, dolomite) through ground water circulation. Even if suspected (see for example, BIJU-DUVAL et al., 1982, 1983a, 1983b), the occurrence of deep ocean karstic dissolution of carbonatic rocks is yet to be proven. On the other hand, it is important to point out that dissolution of very soluble rocks such as Na,Mg,K salts need not take place only in subaerial conditions. Salt could be in fact easily removed by seawater circulation when outcropping or within the subsurface (suberosion according to the German literature). This kind of leaching is therefore somewhat independent from the surrounding environment in the sense that the most important requirement seems to be water (either fresh or marine) circulation within the salt-bearing evaporites. Dissolution and collapse features in salty rocks are well known also on land (see references in KASTENS & SPIESS, 1984). As observed by LOHMAN (1972), who wisely discriminates between "true" karst and salt leaching

the latter phenomenon can eventually evolve in collapse structures, the sinkholes, when salt leaching on the top of a diapir is faster than the flow of salt from downwards. Although the final result of the process may produce features resembling dolines, poljes and other subaerial karst morphologies, this does not strictly imply that they formed under subaerial karst conditions. If compared to the detailed morphological, lithological and structural analyses that can be carried out on the karst landscape on land, any approach to study the underwater environment still appears very primitive. Basically all the information we have about the underwater sinkholes derives from indirect, acoustic observations which supply little more than a rough morphology. LOHMAN (1972) has studied seismograms which give a vertical section of the sinkholes. The hypothesis that the Red Sea deeps are effectively collapse structures is not supported by any definitive direct observation but rather their peculiar morphology, detected by a traditional bathymetric survey. The same approach is shared by ROSS & UCHUPI (1977) and by TRABANT & PRESLEY (1978). Even the use of more sophisticated devices such as the GLORIA (BELDERSON et al., 1978) and Deep-Tow (KASTENS & SPIESS, 1984) systems provide only morphological information.

The morphological studies derived from the acoustic methods alone can not be considered diagnostically sufficient. The geological and structural setting of a given area must also be carefully analyzed. This factor is well understood by the above mentioned investigators which derive their final interpretation from a thorough analysis of all the geological and geophysical evidence at their disposal. In fact, circular or oval depressions on the ocean bottom can be associated with other mechanisms other than salt dissolution, for example, the collapse of the roof of pillow-lavas (SARTORI, pers. com.), subsidence related to methane escape from the sediment (Mississippi River Delta: PRIOR & COLEMAN, 1980), dynamic sedimentary processes (Malta-Siracusa escarpment: BIJU-DUVAL et al., 1983b) etc. Furthermore, karstic features originally produced under subaerial conditions can be encountered on rocks later subsided below the sea.

THE TERMINOLOGICAL PROBLEM

The use in marine geology of terms introduced to describe the subaerial karst is misleading when there is no definitive proof of the genesis of a given underwater "karstic" feature. In order to avoid confusion between a superficial, morphological appearance and the mechanism which originated it, it is prudent to use terms as "doline-like", "polje-like" or phrases as "resembling dolines" and so on. An uncorrect terminology can generate more than a wrong interpretation. For example, KASTENS & SPIESS (1984) use the terms doline and zanjones referring to some collapse and dissolution features present on the Eastern Mediterranean Ridge. However, from their paper it appears that their dolines (typically a karst structure of subaerial carbonate rocks) are collapse structures due to salt leaching and that their zanjones (originally described by MONROE, 1964, as a local karst feature of carbonates in Puerto Rico, and by the same author, 1976: p.4, defined as a local term for corridor) are solution features again in evaporites. Accordingly, it can be argued that the mechanisms known to produce those structures on land are also responsible for the origin of those discovered at sea. As a consequence, one can conclude that dolines and zanjones (an unnecessary term) may form also in evaporitic sequences (namely halite and/or K, Mg salts) exactly as they do in carbonate rocks; or, that the soluble bedrock was not made up by evaporites but by carbonates. Moreover, a zanjón is thought to form under special conditions through the action of "acidic waters derived largely from decay of forest vegetation" (Monroe, 1964). Similar conditions are highly unlikely in the deep ocean. It could be logically argued that the "karstic" morphology of the Eastern Mediterranean bottom is inherited by an ancient subaerial karst landscape. It is evident that the data at our disposal do not allow us to objectively recognize the mechanism(s) which formed these underwater structures and a less rigid use of the terminology would have generated less confusion between the observed morphology (the objective datum) and its interpretation (subjective).

In the present paper I shall use the informal term sinkhole when referring to the underwater morphological expressions recognizable as collapse structures due to subsurface dissolution of soluble rocks whatever (karst or salt leaching) is their likely origin.

SUBMARINE SINKHOLES: THEIR GEOLOGICAL ASPECTS

The submarine sinkholes buried in the North Sea subsurface (LOHMAN, 1972) range from a 1 m up to 5 km in diameter. Those of the Red Sea have a comparable magnitude (1-4 km about) as well as the collapse structures of the Eastern Mediterranean (1-6 km). The maximum diameter of the Orca Basin is about 30 km.. The vertical relief varies from a few tens to many hundreds of meters. It is immediately evident that these structures collect and preserve sediment acting as mini-sedimentary basins (LOHMAN, 1972) whose geological importance can be regional depending upon their size and setting.

The most common mechanism proposed by the authors implies that the collapse takes place at the top of a salt diapir; however, subsurface dissolution of the North Sea Permian evaporites is also thought (LOHMAN, 1982) to take place in the absence of diapirism.

Limiting our consideration to the first mechanism, we observe that sinkholes generated by salt-leaching pass through the following steps: 1) primary deposition of salt-bearing evaporites; 2) subsequent deposition of a sedimentary cover; 3) halokinesis and/or halotectonics (TRUSHEIM, 1960) due to the effects of sedimentary loading and/or tectonic activity leading to diapiric uplift; 4) subsurface removal of salt greater than its inward flow within a diapir with consequent formation of caverns; 5) formation of the sinkhole for the collapse of the cavern's roof.

In the Red Sea the widespread occurrence of a thick Miocene salt-bearing evaporitic sequence is well known from seismics and drilling (BONATTI et al., 1984, with references therein). Although the Plio-Quaternary sedimentary cover rarely exceeds the 100-200 m, extensive salt movement is favoured by active tectonic movements connected to the basin's oceanization. Furthermore the regional heat flow is high implying high subsurface temperature; high temperature is known (GUSSOW, 1968) to enhance the plasticity of salt which can, therefore, move also in absence of considerable loading. "Diapiric" subbottom configurations can be seen in many seismic reflection profiles of the Red Sea (ROSS & SCHLEE, 1973; BONATTI et al., 1984). BÄCKER et al. (1975) observe that the Red Sea deeps which they think to be collapse features, are connected with presumed transform faults. This observation seems to be correct since the Oceanographer Deep is situated in the area of the Brothers Fracture Zone (CRANE & BONATTI, in press), Valdivia, Discovery and Chain Deep are situated in the Atlantis Fracture Zone (CRANE & BONATTI, in press) and Kebrit Deep can well be related to a transform parallel to the Dead Sea-Aqaba transform as suggested by some bathymetric alignments (see plate 5 of BÄCKER et al., 1975). Whether this connection is purely casually or directly connected to the sinkhole formation is still to be investigated.

Miocene (Messinian) evaporites are present also in the Mediterranean Sea where they form an extensive subsurface formation; the presence of Na, Mg, K salts within the evaporitic formation has been demonstrated by DSDP drills (RYAN, HSU et al., 1973). Diapiric structures have been extensively reported from the Eastern Mediterranean (LORT & GRAY, 1974; SMITH, 1976, 1977;), although not without criticism (e.g., GOGUEL, 1978; HIEKE, 1982). It is interesting to note that at present collapse features at the top of presumed diapirs are reported only from the Eastern Mediterranean while they are apparently absent from the Western basin where diapiric structures are far numerous (ERICKSON et al., 1977: p.270). This fact could be related to the different geologic and tectonic framework of the two basins. In the light of the previous discussion, it is interesting to re-examine the conclusion of HSU et al. (1973) which advance the hypothesis that the hummocky landscape of the Eastern Mediterranean largely derives from the karstification of the evaporitic deposits exposed to subaerial conditions when the basin completely dried up. They correctly reason that the solution of sulphates and carbonates, originating collapsing caverns, occurred as a result of ground water circulation. They also argue that the collapse of caverns formed during the subaerial phase may have continued also after marine conditions re-established in the Mediterranean. However, if the "karstic" morphology is due to dissolution of salt and not of less soluble products (as gypsum, anhydrite and carbonates) there is no need of subaerial exposure. Lacking definitive information, none of the hypothesis can be ruled out with the possibility that both processes may have occurred.

The Gulf of Mexico, where the Orca Basin is situated, is an area of thick accumulation of salt which was deposited in Jurassic time in a geological/tectonical setting closely resembling the Miocene Red Sea (HUMPHRIS, 1977). Sediment loading triggered important halokinetic movements resulting in salt tectonics. TRABANT & PRESLEY (1977) argue that Orca Basin, the largest "sinkhole" until now reported in the deep sea, is linked to massive collapse due to salt removal from an underlying salt mass or exposed salt diapir.

THE ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE

The disruption of salt-bearing evaporites in a tectonically active setting can facilitate intraevaporitic water circulation; salt within the evaporites can be easily dissolved forming brines which can eventually accumulate in nearby depressions. The sinkholes emplaced on saline formations, as those treated in the present paper, are an ideal place where brines can be formed and preserved from dissipation. The more or less prolonged stagnation of these brines could bring the bottom of the basin to anoxic conditions. The Red Sea Deep and Orca Basin are two excellent examples of this phenomenon. The chlorinity (‰ Cl) of the Red Sea brines of the deeps reported in this paper ranges between 136.6 to 156 (BÄCKER & SCHOELL, 1972) comparable to that filling the bottom 200 m of Orca Basin that is 149.5 (TRABANT & PRESLEY, 1977). Anoxic conditions at bottom allow the preservation of organic matter; thus, under favorable geodynamic (low heat flow, absence of volcanism...) and hydrographic (especially high surface productivity) conditions, a sinkhole can become a source of hydrocarbons, as suggested by TRABANT & PRESLEY (1977). These geodynamic processes, active in the Red Sea since at least the time of the evaporite deposition (e.g., BONATTI et al., 1984), prevent the formation and preservation of this kind of hydrocarbons. However, in the Red Sea deeps metal-enriched sediments accumulate in relation to the intense hydrothermalism accompanying the separation of the Nubian and Arabian plates from each other (BÄCKER, 1982; THISSE et al., 1983). In this context, the sinkhole may have a two-folded importance: first,

as a site where the brine (in which useful base metals are dissolved) can easily form through seawater circulation within the steep walls exposing evaporites, and second as a collector able to keep the brine itself which would otherwise be quickly dissipated.

Considering the striking geological, lithological and tectonic similarities between the Red Sea Miocene to Recent setting and the early phase of separation of the Atlantic margins, it is likely that fossil deeps which acted as sinkholes in the past (eventually enriched in base metals as the Red Sea ones) lay more or less deeply buried at places of the Eastern and western Atlantic margins where evaporites of that age has been reported.

CONCLUSIONS

1) Submarine collapse structures (sinkholes) probably due to leaching of underlying salt have been detected in many oceans where thick salt-bearing evaporites are present (Gulf of Mexico, North, Red and Mediterranean seas).

2) In order to avoid confusion between a simple morphological configuration of the ocean subbottom and the ultimate nature and genesis of these features, it is recommended to avoid as much as possible the use of a terminology strictly connected to subaerial geomorphologies.

3) Sinkholes form in a different sets of tectonic environments. The presence of diapirism seems to be an ideal pre-requisite, although exceptions to this rule have been reported.

4) The submarine sinkholes could act as mini-sedimentary basins and can be an important source for ore formation and/or preservation (young passive margin: Red Sea) as well as for hydrocarbons (mature passive margin, Gulf of Mexico).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Manuscript reviewed by M. Frignani, J. LaBrecque, R. Sartori, G.B. Vai and N. Zitellini. Contribution no. 459 of Istituto di Geologia Marina del C.N.R.

REFERENCES

Bäcker, H., 1982, Metalliferous sediments of hydrothermal origin from the Red Sea, in: P.Halbach & P.Winter eds., Marine Mineral Deposits, Verlag Gluckauf GmbH, Essen, 102-136.

Bäcker, H. & Schoell, M., 1972, New deeps with brines and metalliferous sediments in the Red Sea, Nature Phys. Sci., 240, 153-158.

Bäcker, H., Lange, K. & Richter, H., 1975, Morphology of the Red Sea Central Graben between Subair Islands and Abul Kizaan, Geol. Jb., D13, 79-123.

Belderson, R.H., Kenyon, N.H. & Stride, A.H., 1978, Local submarine salt-karst formation on the Hellenic Outer Ridge, eastern Mediterranean, Geology, 6, 716-720.

Biju-Duval, B., et al., 1982, Données nouvelles sur les marges du bassin ionien profond (Méditerranée orientale). Résultats des campagnes Escarmed, Revue de l'IFP, 37(6), 713-731.

Biju-Duval, B., et al., 1983a, Exemples de sédimentation condensée sur les escarpements de la Mer Ionienne (Méditerranée orientale). Observations à partir du submersible "Cyana", Revue de l'IFP, 38(4), 427-438.

Biju-Duval, B., et al., 1983b, Dépressions circulaires au pied de l'escarpement de Malte et morphologie des escarpements sous-marins. Problèmes d'interprétation, Revue de l'IFP, 38(5), 605-619.

Bonatti, E., Colantoni, P., Della Vedova, B. & Taviani, M., 1984, Geology of the Red Sea transitional region (22° N-25° N), Ocean. Acta, 7(4), in press.

Crane, K. & Bonatti, E., 1984, Structural analysis of the Red Sea margins using the space shuttle imaging radar (SIR-A): implications for a propagating rift, submitt. to Tectonophysics.

Erickson, A.J., Simmons, G. & Ryan, W.B.F., 1977, Review of heatflow data from the Mediterranean and Aegean seas, In: B.Biju-Duval & L.Montadert eds., Intern. Symp. on the Struct. Hist. of the Medit. Bas., Split (Yugosl.), Ed. Technip, Paris, 263-280.

Frazier, S.B., 1970, Adjacent structures of Ethiopia: that portion of the Red Sea coast including Dahlak, Kebir Island and the Gulf of Zula, Philos. Trans. Roc. Soc. London, A267, 131-141.

Goguel, J., 1978, Diapiric structures in the eastern Mediterranean Cilicia basin: comment and reply, Geology, 6(8), 452-453.

- Gussow, W.C., 1968, Salt diapirism: importance of temperature, and energy source of emplacement: in: Diapirism and diapirs, AAPG Mem. 8.
- Hieke, W., 1982, "Reflector M" and diapiric structures in the Ionian Sea (eastern Mediterranean), Mar. Geol., 46, 235-244.
- Hsü, K.J., Cita, M.B. & Ryan, W.B.F., The origin of the Mediterranean evaporites, in: W.B.F. Ryan, K.J. Hsu et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 13 (2), 1203-1231.
- Humphris, C.C. Jr., 1978, Salt movement on continental slope, northern Gulf of Mexico, AAPG Stud. in Geol., 7, 69-85.
- Kastens, K. & Spiess, F.N., 1984, Dissolution and collapse features on the eastern Mediterranean ridge, Mar. Geol., 56, 181-193.
- Lohman, H.G., 1972, Salt dissolution in subsurface of British North Sea as interpreted from seismograms, AAPG Bull., 56(3), 472-479.
- Lort, J.M., 1977, Geophysics of the Mediterranean Sea basins, in: The Oceans basins and margins, 4(A), Nairu, Kanesh and Stehli eds., Plenum Press, New York, 151-213.
- Lort, J.M. & Gray, F., 1974, Cyprus: seismic studies at sea, Nature, 248, 745-747.
- Monroe, W.H., The zanjón, a solution feature of karst topography in Puerto Rico, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 501-B, B126-B129.
- Monroe, W.H., 1976, The karst landforms of Puerto Rico, Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 899, 69 p.
- Pautot, G., 1983, Les fosses de la Mer Rouge: approche géomorphologique d'un stade initial d'ouverture océanique réalisée à l'aide du Seabeam, Ocean. Acta, 6(3), 235-244.
- Prior, D.P. & Coleman, J.M., 1980, Sonography mosaics of submarine slope instabilities, Mississippi River Delta, Mar. Geol., 36, 227-239.
- Ross, D.A. & Schlee, J., 1973, Shallow structure and geologic development of the southern Red Sea, GSA Bull., 84, 3827-3848.
- Ross, D.A. & Uchupi, E., 1977, Structure and sedimentary history of southeastern Mediterranean Sea-Nile Cone Area, AAPG Bull., 61(6), 872-902.
- Ryan, W.B.F., Hsü, K.J., et al., 1973, Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, volume XIII (Part 2), Washington, U.S. Government Print. Off., ix + 930 p.
- Schoell, M., Bäcker, H. & Baumann, Q., 1974, The Red Sea geothermal systems. New aspects on their brines and associated sediments, in: Problems of ore deposition, Fourth IAGOD Symp., Varna, 1, 303-314.
- Sigl, W., Hinz, K. & Garde, S., 1973, "Hummocky and rolling landscape" in the Ionian sea. A contribution to the "cobblestone" problem, Meteor Forsch.-Ergebnisse, 14, 51-54.
- Smith, S.G., 1976, Diapiric structures in the Eastern Mediterranean Herodotus Basin, Earth Pla. Sci. Lett., 32, 62-68.
- Smith, S.G., 1977, Diapiric structures in the eastern Mediterranean, Cilicia basin, Geology, 5, 705-707.
- Thisse, Y., Guennoc, P., Pouit, G. & Nawab, Z., 1983, The Red Sea: a natural geodynamic and metallogenic laboratory, Episodes, 3, 3-9.
- Trabant, P.K. & Presley, B.J., 1978, Orca Basin, anoxic depression on the continental slope, northwest Gulf of Mexico, AAPG Stud. in Geol., 7, 303-311.
- Trusheim, F., 1960, Mechanism of salt migration in northern Germany, AAPG Bull., 44(9), 1519-540.

Sinkholes: Their geology, engineering and environmental impact – *Proceedings of the first multidisciplinary conference on sinkholes, Orlando, USA, 15-17 October 1984*

1984, 28 x 22 cm, 440 pp., 43 photos, 228 figs, 20 tables
Cloth, Hfl.105 / \$35.00 / £25 90 6191 570 8

Sinkholes are a common and serious natural hazard in many areas of the United States and all over the world. Geologists study how and where sinkholes form, and civil engineers must design structures in areas prone to such catastrophic land collapse.

Flooding and water pollution are other environmental problems accompanying sinkhole formation. For the first time specialists from several different disciplines have gathered to pool their knowledge of this devastating phenomenon. Detailed coverage of such a wide diversity of geologic background and engineering and environmental applications has never been assembled before. This volume contains 63 contributions.

CONTENTS

Sinkhole terminology (Barry F.Beck).

1. The geologic framework and mechanisms of sinkhole development. Review of induced sinkhole development (J.G.Newton, Consultant, Tuscaloosa, Alab., USA); Impact of ground-water chemistry on sinkhole development along a retreating scarp (Sam B.Upchurch, Univ.S.Florida, Tampa & Fred W.Lawrence, McCoy and McCoy, Lexington, USA); A comparison of sinkhole depth frequency distributions in temperate and tropic karst regions (J.W.Troester, Univ. Puerto Rico, Mayaguez & E.L.White & W.B.White, Pennsylvania State Univ., University Park); Karst and subsidence in China (Zhang Shouyue, Acad. Sinica, Beijing); etc.
2. Site studies and evaluation of sinkhole-susceptibility. Use of percussion probes for the design and construction of foundations in and on carbonate formations (D.Raghu, New Jersey Inst. of Technol., Newark, J.J.Lifrieri, Paulus, Sokolowski and Sartor, Warren & F.C.Rhyner, Storch Engin., Florham Park); Methods for describing and predicting the occurrence of sinkholes (Albert E.Ogden, Edwards Aquifer Res. & Data Centre/SWTSU, San Marcos); Relationship of modern sinkhole development to large scale-photolinear features (J.R.Littlefield, M.A.Culbreth, S.B.Upchurch & M.T.Stewart, Univ. S.Florida, Tampa); Sinkhole prediction – Review of electrical resistivity methods (Eberhard Werner, GeoAnalysis, Morgantown); etc.
3. Sinkhole-like features (subsidence pits). Soil cavities formed by piping (Ralph J.Hodek & Allan M.Johnson, Michigan Techn. University, Houghton & Dean B.Sandri, Giles Eng. Ass., Waukesha); Self-healing sinkholes in an earth dam foundation (Neil H.Wade & Lloyd R.Courage, Monenco Cons., Calgary, Canada); etc.
4. Environmental/societal impact of sinkholes. Toxic and explosive fumes rising from carbonate aquifers: A hazard for residents of sinkhole plains (Nicholas C.Crwaford, Western Kentucky Univ., Bowling Green); Characterization of the shallow groundwater system in an area with thin soils and sinkholes (Door Co., WI) (James H.Wiersma, Ronald D.Stieglitz, Dewayne L.Cecil & Glenn M.Metzler, Univ. Wisconsin-Green Bay); etc.
5. Case histories: Remedial engineering of sinkholes. Remedial measures associated with sinkhole-related foundation distress (John E.Garlander, Ardaman and Associates, Orlando); A geological survey's cooperative approach to analyzing and remedying a sinkhole related disaster in an urban environment (Robert Canace & Richard Dalton, New Jersey Geol. Survey, Trenton); etc.
6. Engineering in sinkhole-prone areas. Sinkhole and subsidence damage and protective measures (Nath S.Parate, Tennessee State Univ., Nashville); Collapse and compaction of sinkholes by dynamic compaction (Christian A.Guyot, Terra Firma, Murrysville); etc.

Akai, K., Masao Hayashi & Yuichi Nishimatsu (eds.)

90 6191 209 1

Weak rock: Soft, fractured and weathered rock - *Proceedings of the international symposium, Tokyo, 21-24 September 1981*
1981-82, 25 cm, 1,550 pp., 3 vols., Hfl.495 / \$195 / £115
Engineering property; In situ investigations; Specialised theory & analysis; Adequate design & construction practice; Dynamics & tectonics; Reports from Japan. 230 papers.
Editors: Kyoto University, Central Research Institute Electric Power Industry & University of Tokyo.

Kovári, K. (editor)

90 6191 500 7

Field measurements in geomechanics - *Proceedings of the international symposium, Zürich, 5-8 September 1983*
1984, 23 cm, 1493 pp., 2 vols., Hfl.240 / \$95.00 / £56.50
Field measurements carried out before & after construction are an indispensable source of information for safe and economic design. Fundamentals of field instrumentation; Piles & diaphragm walls; Slopes in soil & rock; Foundations of large dams; Underground openings; Radioactive waste disposal. Editor: ETH, Zürich.

Vermeer, P.A. & H.J. Luger (eds.)

90 6191 224 5

Deformation and failure of granular materials - *International Union of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics symposium on deformation and failure of granular materials, Delft, 31 August-3 September 1982*
1982, 25 cm, 672 pp., Hfl.130 / \$50.00 / £30.50
Role & description of fabric; General aspects of constitutive modelling; Constitutive models; Experimental studies with reference to constitutive models; Shear band formation; Limit analysis & granular flow; Model tests & analytical solutions.

Verruijt, A. & F.B.J. Barends (eds.)

90 6191 216 4

Flow and transport in porous media - *Proceedings of Euromech 143, Delft, 2-4 September 1981*
1981, 25 cm, 240 pp., Hfl.95 / \$38.50 / £22.30
This branch of science is rapidly growing because of the importance of problems of pollution of groundwater, of heat transport & storage of solar energy in the ground. of hydraulics & mechanics in large granular structures & of the simultaneous flow of different fluids in porous media. Dynamics of fluids in porous media; Multiphase flow; Transport of contaminants & heat; Hydrodynamic dispersion. 33 papers.

Verruijt, A., F.L. Beringen & E.H. de Leeuw (eds.)

90 6191 250 4

Penetration testing - *Proceedings of the second European symposium on penetration testing, Amsterdam, 24-27 May 1982*
1982, 25 cm, 1000 pp., 2 vols., Hfl.180 / \$70.00 / £42.30
Interpretation of penetration tests: Determination of the soil profile & of geotechnical parameters. direct use of penetration test results for the determination of foundation performance, correlation between various types of penetration tests, equipment & instrumentation. Standard penetration test; Dynamic probing & Swedish weight sounding; Cone penetration test. Editors: Delft University of Technology, Fugro B.V. & Delft Soil Mechanics Laboratory.

All books available from your bookseller or directly from the publisher:

A.A.Balkema, P.O.Box 1675, NL-3000 BR Rotterdam, Netherlands

A.A.Balkema, P.O.Box 230, Accord, MA 02018, USA